

APPENDIX 2:

Overall annual opinion – definitions based upon framework recommended by Institute of Internal Auditors.

Substantial

There is a sound framework of control operating effectively to mitigate key risks, which is contributing to the achievement of business objectives.

- no individual audit engagement graded as “red” or significant “amber”
- occasional medium risk rated weaknesses identified in individual audit engagements although mainly only low/efficiency weaknesses
- internal audit has confidence in managements attitude to resolving identified issues.

Satisfactory

The control framework is adequate and controls to mitigate key risks are generally operating effectively, although a number of controls need to improve to ensure business objectives are met.

- medium risk rated weaknesses identified in individual audit engagements
- isolated high risk rated weaknesses identified for isolated issues
- no critical risk rated weaknesses were identified
- internal audit is broadly satisfied with management’s approach to resolving identified issues.

Limited

The control framework is not operating effectively to mitigate key risks. A number of key controls are absent or are not being applied to meet business objectives.

- significant number of medium and/or critical risk rated weaknesses identified in individual audit engagements
- isolated critical and/or high risk rated weaknesses identified that are not systemic
- internal audit has concerns about managements approach to resolving identified issues.

No Assurance

A control framework is not in place to mitigate key risks. The organisation is exposed to abuse, significant error or loss and/or misappropriation. Objectives are unlikely to be met.

- serious systemic control weaknesses identified through aggregation of individual audit engagements
- significant number of critical and/or high risk rated weaknesses identified for isolated issues
- internal audit has serious concerns about managements approach to resolving identified issues.